

Direct hadron production and radial flow reduction as quark-gluon plasma signature.

D. Yu. Peressounko

Russian Research Center "Kurchatov Institute", Kurchatov sq. 1, Moscow, Russia

It is shown that due to contribution of the hadrons, emitted directly from the inner hot region of central heavy ion collision, radial flow of the final state hadrons is rather sensitive to the creation of the quark-gluon plasma with mixed phase.

25.75.-q

One of the main issues of the physics of ultrarelativistic heavy ion collisions is creation and registration of new state of matter – quark-gluon plasma (QGP). Several signatures of QGP creation in these collisions have been proposed so far: strangeness enhancement, charm suppression, direct photons and dileptons emission, etc. (see e.g. contributions in [1]). However, detail considerations show, that nearly all proposed signals are not unique features of QGP formation, instead, they can be simulated more or less successfully by hot and dense hadronic matter. So that, the problem of identification of QGP in the heavy ion collisions is still open now.

In this letter we discuss radial flow reduction in central heavy ion collisions as a possible signal of QGP formation. It was pointed out several times (see e.g. [2]), that hadronic matter going through phase transition expands much slowly than pure hadronic gas. However, to compare flows, created in the collisions with and without phase transition it is necessary to specify initial conditions for hydrodynamic expansion. They can not be evaluated exactly, and have to be chosen from some speculations. Usually, one uses initial conditions identical both for evolution with phase transition and without it, and finds, that collective flow, created in the first case of evolution is lower than in the second. Within this approach one can not simultaneously reproduce experimental hadronic distributions both in evolution with and without phase transition, so that one has to assume *ab initio* whether or not phase transition takes place in collision. Below we accept another, more consistent to our opinion approach, in which one does not make beforehand assumption about phase transition, and demands that distributions of final state hadrons reproduce ones, measured on experiment in both cases of evolution. Within this approach, in the frame of pure hydrodynamics one obtains equal collective flows in both cases, but, as we show below, if one takes into account emission of hadrons from central hot part of the collision, then one finds that collective flow decreases if phase transition takes place in the collision.

In the paper [3] we have shown, that due to the comparability of the size of the region, occupied by hot and dense matter created in the heavy ion collision, and free path length of a hadron in it, final state hadrons can originate not only from thin freeze-out hypersurface due to hadronic gas decay (thus originated hadrons we call *freeze-out* hadrons below), but also due to emission from the whole volume of dense matter and partial escaping from the hot region without rescattering (this kind of final state hadrons we call *direct* hadrons below). These two kinds of final state hadrons test different stages of the collision: direct hadrons deliver information about central hot part of the collision, while freeze-out hadrons – about freeze-out stage of collision. In a usually used pure hydrodynamic model one neglects direct hadron emission and considers only freeze-out hadrons, thus testing only stage of decaying of the hadronic gas.

Having in mind possibility to extract information about inner part of the collision by use of the direct hadrons, let us compare evolutions of the collision with and without QGP creation. When dealing with hydrodynamic expansion of the system, which undergoes first order phase transition, one finds, that while matter is in the mixed phase, its collective velocity does not increase, because the pressure in the mixed phase is independent on local energy density. In contrast to this, in the

system without first order phase transition pressure gradient is always present and collective velocity always increase. This difference in collective velocity distributions in expanding matter reveal itself in the collective velocity distributions of the direct hadrons: *direct hadrons, emitted in the collision with phase transition, have lower collective velocities than ones, emitted in the collision without phase transition.* In other words, if mixed phase is created in the collision, then it works like some kind of thermal bath, which abundantly emits direct hadrons with constant low collective velocity and high temperature.

To evaluate collective velocity distributions of final state hadrons in the central heavy ion collision, we use the following model. The hot matter, created during penetration of colliding nuclei through each other, hydrodynamically expands, emitting direct hadrons from the whole volume, occupied by it: from QGP – due to emission of quarks and gluons and their hadronization on its surface, from mixed phase and from surrounding hadronic gas before beginning of freeze-out. We take into account possible rescattering of flying out direct hadrons using probability to escape: $P = \exp \{-\int \lambda^{-1}(x) dx\}$, where integration is performed along the straight line – path of the particle in the hot matter, and $\lambda(x)$ – depending on local energy density free path length. To evaluate hydrodynamic expansion and to calculate energy density distribution, which is necessary for free path length calculations, we use scaling (Bjorken) hydrodynamics with transverse expansion modified to take into account energy loss due to direct hadron emission. As far as we consider radial expansion in central collisions, it is sufficient to use 1+1 dimensional hydrodynamics. Hadronization of the quark or gluon fled out from QGP or mixed phase we describe as decay of the string, pulled out by this quark or gluon, onto one hadron with the only restriction: energy of the final hadron must be lower than energy of the initial quark or gluon. In this letter we do not consider emission of heavy hadrons and resonances, but restrict ourselves to the emission of pions. Also in equation of state used in hydrodynamic expansion we suppose that hadronic gas consists only of pions. Calculating collective velocity distributions of hadrons, we assume that emitted hadron has the same collective velocity as the elementary volume, from which it is emitted. In this letter we consider only transverse collective velocity, but it is clear, how to apply this model to the longitudinal one. Solving hydrodynamic equations numerically, we use various equations of state (EoS), depending on whether phase transition takes place in the collision. If we assume that QGP is not created, then we use EoS of ideal gas – short-dashed line on Fig. 1. Otherwise we use EoS with first order phase transition (solid line on Fig. 1). Fluctuations in the final size system can be simulated by ‘smoothing’ of the EoS. This effect could be important in our calculations, so we test sensitivity of our predictions to the sharpness of EoS – we also use third kind of EoS with smoothed first order phase transition (long-dashed line on Fig. 1). More detailed description of the calculation of direct hadron emission can be find in [4].

Within this model we have the following set of free parameters, which values have to be chosen from some considerations: T_{in} – initial temperature, R_{in} – initial radius of Bjorken cylinder, τ_{in} – initial time, T_c – transition temperature and T_{freeze} – freeze-out temperature.

We apply our model to the recently experimentally studied central Pb+Pb collisions at $158 A \cdot GeV$ – i.e. we adjust parameters of the model to reproduce measured p_t distribution and multiplicity of pions at midrapidity ($y \approx 3$). So we have two conditions: multiplicity and slope of the p_t distribution, and five (four in the case of pure hadronic gas) free parameters, therefore, we can vary values of parameters in the reasonable limits still reproducing multiplicity and p_t distribution. In the case of QGP creation, both for ‘sharp’ and ‘smooth’ EoS we take $T_{in} = 180 MeV$, $R_{in} = 6.5 fm$, $\tau_{in} = 1.3 fm/c$, $T_c = 150 MeV$, $T_{freeze} = 140 MeV$, and for pure hadronic gas evolution – $T_{in} = 180 MeV$, $R_{in} = 12 fm$, $\tau_{in} = 6.5 fm/c$, $T_{freeze} = 140 MeV$.

Resulting transverse collective velocity distributions of final state hadrons for four possible modes of evolution are shown on Fig. 2: Evolution without QGP creation (dash-dotted line), with QGP creation and ‘sharp’ EoS (solid line), with QGP creation and ‘smooth’ EoS (dashed line) and with

QGP creation but without direct hadron emission (dotted line). Transverse collective velocity distributions, obtained with assumption of pure hadronic gas evolution and evolution with QGP creation without direct hadron emission are very similar: in both cases main contributions comes from region $\beta_r \sim 0.6$, although in the case of pure hadronic gas an additional bump at low β_r appears due to direct hadron contribution. So, if we do not take into account direct hadron emission, then evolution with and without QGP formation indeed can not be distinguished. Otherwise, if we consider direct hadron emission, then transverse collective velocity distribution, calculated for EoS without phase transition spectacularly differ from ones, calculated for EoS both with ‘sharp’ and ‘smooth’ phase transition. In the last two cases distributions are quite similar: both for evaluation with ‘sharp’ and ‘smooth’ EoS the narrow peak at $\beta_r \sim 0.1$ appears due to direct hadron contribution and wide bump at $\beta_r \sim 0.6$ – due to freeze-out hadrons contribution. In these evaluations the system is assumed initially in the pure QGP phase, so that mixed phase possess small collective velocity during expansion of pure QGP, and peak from direct hadron contribution is shifted with respect to zero. As we have already noted, we have some freedom in choosing of the particular values of parameters, hence, shown distributions can not be considered as a strict predictions, but rather as typical for four possible cases of evolution. For instance, increasing of the difference $T_{in} - T_c$ leads to increasing of transverse collective velocities of direct hadrons. Increasing of the difference $T_c - T_{freez}$ results in increasing of ones of freeze-out hadrons. However, in view of restriction on resulting p_t distribution, we can not shift contributions of direct and freeze-out hadrons too far from the shown positions: e.g. for any reasonable values of parameters, peak of direct hadrons contribution appears below $\beta_r \sim 0.2$.

Transverse collective velocity distribution of the final state hadrons in the form, shown on Fig. 2 can not be directly measured at experiment. Nevertheless, presence or absence of the contribution of the hadrons with low transverse collective velocity results in variation of the average transverse collective velocity ($\langle \beta_r \rangle$), which can be extracted from experimental data. We test the sensitivity of the average transverse collective velocity to the ‘amount’ of QGP, created in the collision, i.e. to the initial energy density. We fix $T_c = 180 \text{ MeV}$, $T_{freez} = 140 \text{ MeV}$ and vary initial energy density, adjusting initial volume to reproduce multiplicity of pions at midrapidity and p_t distribution. Results of these calculations are shown on Fig. 3. We find that $\langle \beta_r \rangle$ decrease with increasing of the initial energy density until $E_{in} \sim 2.2 \text{ GeV}/fm^3$, when it begins to increase. That is, while the space-time volume, occupied by mixed phase increase, the number of direct hadrons emitted from it increase too, reducing $\langle \beta_r \rangle$. As the energy density becomes higher than $2.2 \text{ GeV}/fm^3$, pure QGP is created in the center of the collision and accelerates the surrounding mixed phase, increasing $\langle \beta_r \rangle$.

Within our model we can not evaluate dependence of the initial energy density on the beam energy. Nevertheless, assuming initial energy density to be monotonous function of beam energy, we can made a qualitative prediction: If one consider the average transverse collective velocity as a function of beam energy, then in the case of EoS with phase transition, the specific minimum appears, while in the case of EoS without it we expect, that $\langle \beta_r \rangle$ monotonously increases with saturation. The decreasing in the case of phase transition begins when mixed phase is created in the collision, while the minimum is reached when pure QGP is created.

Extraction of average transverse collective velocity from experimental data was already done by several authors for Ni+Ni, Au+Au, Si+Au, S+Au and Pb+Pb collisions at beam energies $10^{-1} - 10^2 A \cdot \text{GeV}$. These results were summarized by Herrmann [5] and Xu [6]. Both authors conclude, that $\langle \beta_r \rangle$ increase up to AGS beam energy ($\sim 10 A \cdot \text{GeV}$), while there is no agreement in the analysis of the present SpS data (beam energy $\sim 10^2 A \cdot \text{GeV}$). Herrmann reported that average transverse collective velocity decrease from $\langle \beta_r \rangle \approx 0.44$ at AGS energy to $\langle \beta_r \rangle \approx 0.27$ at SpS energy, while Xu concluded that this is just a saturation at AGS energy at the level $\langle \beta_r \rangle \approx 0.4$. In this letter we can not make quantitative comparison with these data, but mention that we obtain reasonable values of $\langle \beta_r \rangle$, comparable with those, discussed by Herrmann and Xu.

To summarize, we consider new possible signal of QGP creation in the heavy ion collision. Due to comparability of the sizes of the hot matter and free path length of the hadron in it, direct hadrons, emitted by QGP and by mixed phase, can partially escape from the hot region and deliver information about central part of the collision. We use this ability to test the presence of the mixed phase in the collision: due to the mixed phase does not accelerate, direct hadrons emitted from it have essentially lower collective velocity, than in the collision without mixed phase creation, and thus average collective velocity decreases. We calculate transverse collective velocity distributions of final state hadrons in the central Pb+Pb collision at $158 A \cdot GeV$ for various EoS, and demonstrate, that direct hadrons contribute mainly into region $\beta_r \sim 0.1$, while freeze-out hadrons contribute to the region $\beta_r \sim 0.6$. We evaluate dependence of the average transverse collective velocity on the initial energy density. In the case of phase transition it has specific minimum, while in the case of absence of phase transition we expect monotonous increasing. Available preliminary experimental results are treated by different authors both as decreasing or as just saturating of $\langle \beta_r \rangle$, so this problem is open now.

I pleased to thanks Yu. E. Pokrovsky for inspiring discussions and continuous support.

-
- [1] Proceedings of conference Quark Matter' 96 in Nucl. Phys. **A610** (1996).
 - [2] D.H.Rischke, Nucl. Phys. **A610** (1996) 88c, U.Ornik et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **63** (1989) 2641, M.Kataja et al., preprint PAR-LPTHE 92-3.
 - [3] D. Yu. Peressounko, Yu. E. Pokrovsky, Physics of Atomic Nuclei, **58** (1995), 1450.
 - [4] D. Yu. Peressounko, Yu. E. Pokrovsky, E-print archives hep-ph/9704386, to be published in Nuclear Physics A.
 - [5] N. Herrmann, Nucl. Phys. **A 610** (1996), 49c.
 - [6] Nu Xu et al., Nucl. Phys. **A 610** (1996), 175c.

FIG. 1. Equations of state used in evaluations: without phase transition (dotted line), with 'sharp' phase transition (solid line) and with 'smooth' phase transition (dashed line).

FIG. 2. Transverse collective velocity distributions of final state hadrons for evaluations without QGP creation (dash-dotted line), with QGP creation and 'sharp' EoS (solid line), with QGP creation and 'smooth' EoS (dashed line) and with QGP creation but without direct hadron emission (dotted line).

FIG. 3. Predicted dependence of the average transverse collective velocity of hadrons on initial energy density in the case of phase transition.





